

# Monica Dennington – A simple critique

While some of you may not have heard of this young woman, many young people and YouTube watchers will be very familiar with her. She has self-generated a great deal of exposure on the Net through several YouTube channels, music CDs, and websites, featuring over 250 teachings videos, claiming five million views on over 200 websites and thousands of hits every day.

At first I was tempted to ignore yet another self-important, authoritarian, heretical, sensational web-slinger, teaching serious heresy in the garb of Biblical Christianity; but then I realised that very many young believers, especially women, could be taken in by this nonsense and seriously damaged. I had no choice but to take up the cudgels to help such people.

Since I want to keep this particular paper short, I will adopt a very concise format and try to keep it free of too many extraneous notes, references, sources and side-issues. Thus I will not develop some of the teachings covered in too great a detail. However, I will try to give sources for those seeking more information on specific points.

## Who is she?

Dennington appears to have arisen from the Charismatic Christian music scene in the late 90s in Kansas City. She established *Tic Toc Ministries* in Branson, and then Springfield, Missouri; originally as a charity for the poor. Monica and her husband Gary set up her YouTube account under the name of TicToc Ministries on 8 May 2006. This was followed by developing a broadcasting profile, claiming to be the first major Christian Internet broadcasting network (The FWBN) in 2009. She appears to lead 'The Church at Dallas'.

She describes herself as: '*a cutting-edge, Pharisee-kicking, tell-it-like-it-is preacher and internet communications innovator, singer-songwriter*'; who made a '*dramatic appearance onto the national stage*'.<sup>1</sup> She also calls herself a feminist.<sup>2</sup> Though couched in mealy-mouthed humility, she claims to be a modern prophet.<sup>3</sup> In fact she is a naturally garrulous woman who can't stop talking quickly; thus her videos are always abruptly edited with large parts excised, and jumps even in the middle of a sentence. She also works herself up to an emotional frenzy on occasions, freewheeling in her language, which she sees as prophetic, but which is merely emotional exhibitionism. In fact, she constantly says, '*God is revealing his word to you right now*', i.e. that she is speaking as a prophet. She also makes statements like, '*How dare you*', or threatens God's judgment, if you ignore her point.

Convinced of her self-importance, she speaks, as if on a crusade with new revelations, with great authority (often pointing her fingers) that could browbeat immature believers. In fact, she is a severe heretic, speaking in a cultic manner (seeking to attach people to her authority) who twists the Bible to suit her false doctrines, failing to see even the simplest failings of her interpretations.

Thus, for instance, she attacks Calvinism and Calvinists in the most preposterous malicious terms and adopts the most serious doctrinal heresies.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Last.fm*, Monica Dennington, Biography; 3 Jan 2012. See also [MonicaDennington.com](http://MonicaDennington.com) and *Tic Toc Ministries*.

<sup>2</sup> Dennington; *Calling all Calvinists: A call to repentance*.

<sup>3</sup> *Last.fm*, Monica Dennington, Biography; 3 Jan 2012.

### **Problem 1: Her supposed teaching / prophetic ministry**

Claiming to have a special gift to teach Scripture, she fails to understand a very basic fact about the teaching ministry: it is male.

I do not permit a woman to teach or to have authority over a man, but to be in silence. For Adam was formed first, then Eve. And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived, fell into transgression. Nevertheless she will be saved in childbearing if they continue in faith, love, and holiness, with self-control. 1 Tim 2:12-15

Feminist authors try to get round this simple statement with all sorts of sophistry, but they cannot undo the very straightforward facts established by the apostle Paul.

- Paul did not permit a woman to teach.<sup>4</sup>
- Paul did not permit a woman to have authority over a man (such as by teaching or prophecy).
- Man was formed first and woman as an afterthought in God's creation to help the man.
- The woman, not the man, was deceived by Satan. Paul uses this to support his concern that women were more likely to be deceived in doctrine (2 Tim 3:6-7).<sup>5</sup>
- Women are saved in God's kingdom by doing feminine things: raising a godly family and continuing in faith, love, holiness and self-control.

These things are undeniable unless you want to rip Scripture to pieces.<sup>6</sup>

Dennington, by raising herself up as a church leader, teacher of men and self-styled prophet, is contradicting the very Bible that she claims to be able to interpret better than others (such as Calvin).

On this basis alone Dennington should be dismissed and not listened to.

### **Problem 2: her self-promotion for money and character issues**

There are at least nine TicToc related YouTube channels (including WebAThonTV). In various presentations on these she makes demands for money and her various websites have many buttons for donations. In one WebAThon video Dennington asked for 100,000 dollars in one day so that she wouldn't have to worry about subsistence for one year. [Why does she need so much to survive if she is married?]

Conferences at her church in Dallas are also charged. One recent one cost \$99 for two days of talks. Many churches give such conferences freely.

It is noteworthy that her websites and YouTube channels have very few negative comments (or just few comments at all) because these are heavily edited by Dennington. One commentator avers that this must be a near full-time job since she has had so many negative comments. Just her error regarding the Holy Spirit generated masses of negative comments and rebukes. Indeed, there are many YouTube videos highlighting her errors.

She has also listed Christians who have rebuked her as being in sin and error, under various pretences (such as 'sinning' by not giving your surname on a YouTube channel). Thus those who justly admonish her for error are vilified fraudulently.

---

<sup>4</sup> Paul's female colleagues were not allowed to teach men but served his needs or were used to teach other women (2 Tim 2:3-5). They are not a paradigm for authority over men.

<sup>5</sup> In fact very many historic heresies and rogue ministries were led by women.

<sup>6</sup> Yes women and men work together in an ethical and spiritual equality but function on different levels in the church. For more information see my paper, *Is There A Biblical Limit On The Ministry Of Women?*.

I understand that very many pastors and individuals have written to her confronting her doctrinal errors and have all been ignored. One person (Damon Whitsell) has publicly accused her and her husband of lying.<sup>7</sup>

### **Problem 3: Attack on Calvinism<sup>8</sup>**

It is interesting that even non-Calvinists have criticised Dennington for the way she vilified Calvinists with no evidence and portraying a skewed caricature of Calvinism.<sup>9</sup> This video is so facile that it is hard to believe she is serious; indeed parts are laughable. Constantly she makes herself a hypocrite.<sup>10</sup> But the video is arrogantly malicious; Dennington says, for example:

- Calvinists set themselves apart to Calvin.
- Calvinists do not respect Christ as a great teacher.
- Calvinists are puffed up.
- Calvinists are full of pride.
- Calvinists are a special sect, full of dissension.
- Calvinists are disobedient sons who are contentious.
- Calvinists need to be thrown out of the church.
- Calvinists don't know God.

She states, based on 1 Cor 1 and 3, that any sectarianism is wrong, therefore, Calvinism is wrong because it is sectarian. She fails to see that she commits the same sin of sectarianism by championing her non-Calvinism to attack other believers. The passages she quotes refer to men (Paul, Cephas, Apollos) who were all united in the truth of the Gospel. The sin of the Corinthians was in men-fearing; setting up church parties following this or that man (which is idolatry).

Calvinists do not idolise Calvin, but this term is applied to those who believe the same truths about the Gospel that Calvin did, in opposition to both Catholics and Arminians. It is a mere nickname that has stuck regarding the truths of sovereign grace as opposed to works salvation (and it is helpful to save time explaining one's theology). This truth was taught by the apostles, and many since, and there are several names for the doctrinal position: Augustinianism, monergism, Calvinism, Reformed faith, Protestantism, etc. These names mean nothing since they are mere attributes given to those who hold sovereign grace. In fact most who are labelled as 'Calvinists' do not choose to identify themselves in that way; they just use the term to show their Biblical position on grace (such as, 'Regarding salvation I am a Calvinist not an Arminian').

There is nothing sectarian about believing what the apostles taught. Calvinism is just a nickname given to those essential truths of the apostolic doctrines of grace. Why does Dennington not condemn Arminians for the same reasons? In fact the split in the Protestant Church was originally created by the Remonstrants at Dort (Arminians) not the Dutch Reformed Church (Calvinists). The sectarianism was initiated by Arminians not Calvinists, but Dennington (being an Arminian) hypocritically rants against Calvinists.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> *Exposing the TicToc Word cult*. <http://thewordonthewordoffaithinfoblog.com/2009/06/12/tic-tocfinal-word-cult/>

<sup>8</sup> Dennington; *Calling all Calvinists: A call to repentance*.

<sup>9</sup> Chris Rosebrough; Pirate Christian Radio.

<sup>10</sup> Such as condemning arguing while at the same time arguing.

<sup>11</sup> Calvinists separated from Roman Catholicism which, being heretical, was the correct thing to do.

Dennington's malicious statements, to vilify Calvinists who are fellow brethren, shows that she has no understanding about unity, graciousness, balance, slander, or even what constitutes the truth. Strong words can be used of heretics to show the severity of the problem (as Paul did), but malicious attacks on brethren holding the truth will receive God's judgment (Eph 4:31; 1 Tim 3:11; Titus 2:3; Jm 4:11; 1 Jn 2:9, 11, 3:14, 4:20; Prov 21:16).

Ironically, I doubt that she calls her followers 'Denningtonites' and reprimands them for following her as a teacher, causing sectarianism in the body, which she ought to; more hypocrisy.

#### **Problem 4: denial of Christ's humanity**

This was a common heresy in the early church, which was generated by the influence of Gnosticism. Many considered that the flesh was evil, the spirit good (dualism) and thus the Son of God could not have a material body. Various measures were proposed to avoid this materialism, such as: Christ brought a perfect human-type body from heaven, or God made a special human-type body in Mary's womb. Such folk cannot believe that Mary contributed anything human to Christ but was a mere vessel used by God.

Dennington teaches something like this; at least it is implied in her teaching on the femininity of the Spirit (see next), whom she calls, '*our heavenly mother*'; She interprets Jesus' teaching in John 3 that we are born of the Spirit as implying that the Spirit is our mother and thus feminine: '*The Spirit gives birth as a woman*'.<sup>12</sup> However, her main argument is based upon the feminine Hebrew word, *ruwach*. From this she teaches that the Father (the Most High) and the Spirit (mother) produced together the child Jesus. Thus Mary is not Jesus' mother but the Spirit is.

The Bible explains that God did not plant an embryo in Mary's womb, a perfect human foetus made in heaven, but that the Holy Spirit came upon Mary and interacted with her ovum, and a child was conceived in her womb so that the child would be both God and human at the same time:

The birth of Jesus Christ was as follows: after His mother Mary was betrothed to Joseph, before they came together, she was found with child of the Holy Spirit. Matt 1:18

*The Holy Spirit will come upon you, and the power of the Highest will overshadow you; therefore, also, that Holy One who is to be born will be called the Son of God.* Lk 1:35

Thus Jesus called himself a man (Matt 18:11; Jn 5:27 etc.) and the Bible affirms everywhere that he is a fleshly man (Jn 1:14; Heb 2:14), born of the line of David (Matt 1:1, 15:22 etc.). Jesus is the last Adam and the second man (1 Cor 15:45, 47). If he had no human involvement in his conception, how could he be David's son?

The genealogy from David was vital in order that Christ could be the king of God's kingdom and inheritor of the everlasting promises attached to the monarchy. Thus the NT emphasises the links with David (Matt 22:43-45; Lk 1:32, 2:11; Acts 13:34; Rm 1:3; Rev 2:7, 22:16). If Christ was born in a heavenly manner without a human mother, none of these links exist and Christ has no right to the throne of David. Jesus is literally, materially related to David through Mary (and Joseph), as the genealogy of Jesus demonstrates (Matt 1:1-16; cf. Lk 3:23ff.).

---

<sup>12</sup> Dennington; *The Hidden Link: The Feminine Holy Spirit*.

Dennington's teaching destroys the humanity of Jesus and thus ruins salvation. In fact, if Jesus came from a sexual liaison between the Father and the Spirit, why make him a child at all? Why not just make him a fully-grown man? Furthermore, the product of a sexual liaison between the Father and the Spirit would produce a being greater than angels; but Jesus was made lower than angels (Heb 2:7, 9).

The truth is that Jesus had to be born of a virgin in order to be a real human being, and then grow up as a normal person (so he is able to identify with us as a High Priest who shared our infirmities) in order to die as a man for the sins of men. A heavenly creation could not die for the sins of men.<sup>13</sup>

Dennington comes under the condemnation of the apostle John,

Every spirit that does not confess that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is not of God. And this is the *spirit* of the Antichrist, which you have heard was coming, and is now already in the world. 1 Jn 4:3

And John demands that anyone who denies the literal humanity of Christ must be expelled from the church and our fellowship.

Whoever transgresses and does not abide in the doctrine of Christ does not have God. He who abides in the doctrine of Christ has both the Father and the Son. If anyone comes to you and does not bring this doctrine, do not receive him into your house nor greet him; for he who greets him shares in his evil deeds. 2 Jn 1:9-11

Thus to insist that the Spirit is the Mother of Jesus means denying the Scriptural record that Mary is the mother of Jesus (Matt 1:18, 2:11 etc.) and that Jesus is a real human.

### **Problem 5: Affirmation of the femininity of the Holy Spirit**

Dennington strongly affirms that the Holy Spirit is the female part of the deity. God is the Father; the Spirit is the Mother. She further insists that the traditional concept of the Trinity demands that God is a homosexual (male to male conception of Christ); this can only be avoided by asserting a female Spirit in the Trinity: *'If you still insist that the Holy Spirit is male then you are propagating a lie about the very nature of God; God is not a homosexual God'*. She also charges that holding the traditional doctrine of the Trinity will lead to practical sexual abuse and paedophilia in the pulpit.

This shocking doctrine has not an ounce of support in Scripture, but is very common in occult teachings and historical heresies. In fact, the whole concept of a Mother goddess is ancient in pagan religion, originating in the Babylonian moon goddess consort of the sun god, to Kali worship (the consort of Shiva in Hinduism), on to current Gaia ideology and Wicca's earth goddess.

Dennington's concept of a female Holy Spirit is occult, plain and simple. This occult idea crept into church sects long ago and has continued in modern times. The Unity Church taught that the Spirit was feminine, as did some Branch Davidians, as do some Messianic Jewish groups, Clark Pinnock (Open Theist) and some feminist church leaders.

God is not fleshly but is Spirit; thus gender does not really apply to him in the way it does to us. However, we have to limit ourselves to the revelation of God as taught in his word. This teaches us the following things:

---

<sup>13</sup> For more information see my papers: *Concise notes on Christ's two natures; The two natures of Christ; Further notes on Christ's two natures.*

- There is no doubt that God the Father and God the Son are depicted as male. The economy of the Trinity (three persons sharing one divine essence) implies that the Spirit is also male.
- When God created man to be his image on the earth, he created a man not a woman. Then woman came after the man was lonely and arose from man, not a direct creation from God.
- When God allowed Israel to have a monarch to rule under him, he established a line of kings and not queens. Whenever, Israel came under the influence of queens (such as royal foreign consorts, like Jezebel) Israel was oppressed by spiritual idolatry.
- When God sent part of the Godhead, the Second Person of the Trinity, to be the saviour of the elect, he was incarnated as a man, not a woman.
- The mechanics of language sometimes determine that feminine nouns are used for masculine genders. We cannot determine the actual gender of something based upon the grammatical gender of the noun or pronoun because nouns often have multiple applications. Indeed, on occasions the gender of the pronoun is masculine while the noun is neuter; thus the Spirit (neuter) generally has masculine pronouns ('he'; e.g. Jn 16:13-15).
- 'Spirit' can also be translated as 'wind', 'breath' or even 'mind' in Hebrew and this word is feminine (*ruwach*) but this does not mean that the Holy Spirit is female. In Greek, 'Spirit' is neuter (*pneuma*) but that does not mean that the Spirit is an 'it'. Since the original languages allocate feminine, masculine and neuter grammar to the Spirit, you cannot prove anything by this means alone.
- In Hebrew, 'Holy', in 'Holy Spirit' is masculine (e.g. Ps 51:11; Isa 63:10-11). [In Greek it is an adjective.]
- God's word has a specific name for the Spirit regarding his ministry to the saints, that of *parakletos* (advocate, comforter; Jn 14:16). This is a title also applied to Christ (1 Jn 2:1) and it is a masculine noun.

The fact that the only titular word used of the Spirit (*parakletos*) is masculine, and the fact that the natural reading of Greek grammar is changed by the Spirit to give a masculine pronoun attached to a neuter noun, all points to the divine case that the Spirit is to be considered as male. This is also necessitated by the fact that the Trinity is one; the three persons share one essence.

Dennington also fails to read Jesus' words that in heaven there is no female, or marriage, either of angels or saints (Matt 22:30). Since the elect are like angels, and angels were always given masculine actual names (Michael, Gabriel), then there are no feminine saints in heaven. Thus there cannot be any female aspect to God either, since the creation is a reflection of what God is. Heavenly saints are a new creation in Christ; the body of Christ. They are not earthly, fleshly creatures that are male or female.

The attempt to turn any member of the Godhead into a feminine form is part of a satanic strategy to subvert the essence of Christianity. Dennington has become part of this.

Furthermore, the dire warning that blasphemy against the Spirit will not be forgiven means that Dennington faces a frightening prospect.

### **Problem 6: Denial of the Trinity**

In her introduction to her *'Seven Spirits of God'* series she makes a flat-out denial of the Trinity as a doctrinal construct.<sup>14</sup> Christians do not need to believe in this to be saved (she says). She bases this on the fact that the word 'Trinity' is not found in the Bible, that Jesus never mentioned the word, and that the doctrine arose after the Scriptures were finalised. Thus, on the basis that we cannot add to the word of God, she teaches that the idea of the Trinity is an addition of mere men in the second century that fostered division and sectarianism. She goes so far as to say that Arianism is just another form of genuine Christianity held by sincere people who did not follow the doctrines of men. If people love Jesus but believe he was a created being in time is irrelevant to her.

There is so much wrong with this superficial view of Scripture, doctrine, and history that it is hard to believe anyone could say it. Dennington seems to excel in making such preposterous statements that they appear, to the unwise, as a new slant on truth. She does this by adding lots of superfluous texts to her points to make her speech appear to be full of Scripture, but none of them are co-ordinated into a sane argument, but are mere window dressing.

'Trinity' is just a word. It is a word coined to help us understand the truth about the nature of God. The value of the word is based on whether or not it demonstrates the truth of the Godhead. The essence of the Godhead is triune (another word not in the Bible, but valuable nevertheless); that is God is three persons who share the same divine substance.

Does Scripture teach this concept? Yes it does from cover to cover. The word 'Trinity' may not be found in the Bible but the concept of the Trinity is found everywhere. The Bible constantly asserts that God the Father is God; God the Son is God and God the Holy Spirit is God. All three persons are God. Jesus confirmed this in many ways.

There are many useful dogmatic words that are not found in the Bible but demonstrate the truth that is found in its teachings. For example: incarnation, eschatology (the doctrine of the last things), predestination, church (the word only appears in English translations; the Greek word is *ekklesia*, meaning a representative body of citizens called out), revival, creationism, canon, Bible, depravity, sacrament, antinomian, etc. Denying these exist because they are not mentioned in Scripture is irrational and just plain stupid.

To defend Arianism is shocking in the extreme. It taught that Jesus was subordinate to God the Father; indeed he was the first creature. Some Arians then said that the Holy Spirit was the first creature created by the Son. In other words, Arians teaches that God is one, not three, and that the Second and Third Persons are merely created beings. If Jesus was not infinite, then there could not have been any salvation for men, which required an infinite sacrifice (the value of the life of the Son of God).

She superficially asserts that anyone who loves Christ, or calls on Christ, is saved and we have no right to separate from them, or call them heretics (while she is calling people heretics if they do not follow her view). Such an immature view fails to understand that there are many Christs, many gospels, many false Christians, many wolves in pulpits etc.  
Note:

**For many will come in My name, saying, 'I am the Christ,' and will deceive many. Matt 24:5**

**For false christs and false prophets will rise and show great signs and wonders to deceive, if possible, even the elect. Matt 24:24**

---

<sup>14</sup> However, while denying the term as a human fiction, she sometimes appears to justify what it means. She is very confused.

**If we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel to you than what we have preached to you, let him be accursed. As we have said before, so now I say again, if anyone preaches any other gospel to you than what you have received, let him be accursed. Gal 1:8-9**

According to her we should welcome as brother everyone in Roman Catholicism, Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormonism, and a million other sects, let alone false religions that worship Jesus in their pantheon. John warns us that we must separate from those who do not hold a Biblical doctrine of Christ (2 Jn 1:9-11). Amazingly Dennington refers to this twice in this video without realising that it applies to her.

Her amazing inclusion of anti-Trinitarians in the body of Christ, coupled with her terrible denunciations of those who do not follow her in this wideness, reveals her appalling hypocrisy since she virtually excommunicates every Calvinist from the body of Christ in another video. While denouncing sectarianism, she divides the body of Christ by expelling Calvinists (who love Jesus and ought to be included by her definition) and yet here she includes heretics, of the worst sort, in the body.

With this error Dennington places herself outside of Christianity and in the camp of cults like Jehovah's Witnesses. Her errors are worse than Roman Catholicism.

However, Dennington proceeds to worse degree of error.

In her seminar series, *The Seven Spirits of God*, lesson 2, Dennington goes from denying the doctrine of the Trinity to asserting that there is one God in seven Spirits. She is bold enough to not be afraid to use the term 'polytheism' for this. However, in her confusion she is both a monotheist and a polytheist at the same time. This position is novel in heresy; I am not aware of anyone else being this foolish.

Her springboard for this is several texts in Revelation, which refer to the seven spirits. Her commitment to literalism and her failure to understand the genres of Scripture enable her to make this childish mistake. However, one of the texts she refers to ought to convince her that it is not meant to be taken literally:

**And I looked, and behold, in the midst of the throne and of the four living creatures, and in the midst of the elders, stood a Lamb as though it had been slain, having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven Spirits of God sent out into all the earth. Rev 5:6**

Everyone agrees that the Lamb refers to Christ and not even Dennington would state that Christ had seven horns and seven eyes. So she accepts that the middle of the verse is figurative. But then she interprets the end of the verse literally, that there are seven Spirits in God. This arbitrary dividing up of a verse of Scripture is the worst sort of heretical exegesis.

When dealing with apocalyptic literature, and texts that are plainly symbolic, we have to use great caution in exegesis and interpret on the basis of typology, symbolism and figures of speech. Indeed, the apostles model for us this kind of approach (such as the book of Hebrews). Thus much in Revelation has to be interpreted as symbolic. Numbers, colours, places, animals etc. are not literal. Thus the beast is not a real beast but Antichrist and scorpions are demons; 666 is not numerical but speaks about man seeking to be God; the dragon is the devil – and so on.

Dennington utterly fails to understand any of this and in her immaturity creates a new doctrine that God has seven Spirits. Seven is merely the number of divine perfection and the references are that the Spirit of God is perfect.



If we go to a doctrinal piece of Scripture, one that is not reliant on symbolism, we find this:  
*There is one body and one Spirit, just as you were called in one hope of your calling; one Lord, one faith, one baptism; one God and Father of all, who is above all, and through all, and in you all.*  
 Eph 4:4-6

This verse affirms the doctrine of the Trinity. There is one God the Father; there is one Lord (Christ) and there is one Spirit (the Holy Spirit).

Having spent hours already studying Dennington videos, I did not have the stamina to go through several more hours of seminars in this series to find out how she develops this seven spirits doctrine. I have heard enough to know it is already Gnostic, heretical and dangerous.

### **Problem 7: multiple issues**

In her video *'Once saved always saved? – The Final Word'* Dennington teaches justification by works. The merit of Christ is not enough for justified people, they have to do certain additional things, such as forgive brethren, or they will be lost eternally. Dennington fails to understand that the exhortations in Scripture are to encourage the justified to bear fruit and so prove their justification is genuine, not to establish an additional justification. Because our justification is founded in the merits of Christ's righteousness being accounted to us, the truly justified can never fall away. If it were true that saints can fall away and be lost (as she claims) then Christ failed as a Saviour; his justification and his righteousness were not enough. It also means that God's plan of salvation was deficient in that it could not secure those he chose in eternity.

Dennington confuses Gospel and law in this message. She fails to understand what law is and that it always condemns us, revealing our helplessness as men. But the Gospel in Christ is that he keeps the whole law perfectly on our behalf. Nothing we do, or fail to do, can add or subtract from the merits of Christ secured in our justification by faith in him.

Dennington also fails to understand how the righteousness of Christ is applied to those with faith. She has no understanding of the active and passive righteousness of Christ and how he perfectly fulfilled the law for those with faith. If you stand in the righteousness of Christ you are justified before God, no matter what failures you may make.<sup>15</sup>

She also holds typical Arminian foundational doctrines, such as that God loves everybody and that Jesus died for everyone.

The more I look at Dennington, the more it appears to me that every aspect of her theology is flawed and riddled with error.

### **Conclusion**

If I had time I am convinced that I would find more examples of heresy if I trawled through hundreds of hours of her teaching videos. This is because serious heresy always breeds more error. Every video I have looked at contained some form of error, so deep is her deception.

Dennington is a very seriously mixed up person who does not appear to be able to think rationally, logically or in a balanced way and is prone to deception on a major level. She has no consistent rules of interpretation so that an interpretation here is contradicted by

---

<sup>15</sup> This does not support antinomianism. We assert that those who are justified are commanded not to sin and if they do they are to confess it, repent and grieve over it. The fact that we have an active old nature means that we all make many mistakes. This is why the doctrine of progressive sanctification is necessary, but that is not to be confused with justification.

another one elsewhere. Her exegesis is, therefore, frequently shocking and absurd. Her vehement criticism of anyone not agreeing with her, even though her theology is exposed and without support, is unpalatable. Her wagging a finger and condemning a godly man like Calvin, on the basis of completely fraudulent exegesis, doctrinal foolishness and irrational argumentation is a disgrace.

I really feel sorry for this girl, who is clearly impassioned in her quest to be a Bible teacher, and I can't understand why her previous church leaders did not help and correct her long ago to enable her gifts of communication to be used appropriately. As it is she is on a very dangerous passage to a very dark place, apparently taking very many gullible people with her.

It is advisable not to watch any of her teaching videos or listen to her songs.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version  
© Thomas Nelson 1982

**Paul Fahy Copyright © 2013**  
**Understanding Ministries**  
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>